

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/624,165	PHAM, TIET	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	JENNIFER N. TO	2195	

All Participants:

Status of Application: _____

(1) JENNIFER N. TO.

(3) _____.

(2) JON M. POWERS.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 23 February 2010

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____.

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

1,4,5, 9,12,13, 17,20, 21

Prior art documents discussed:

Dailey (U.S. Publication No. 2003/0217093) and Nakano et al (U.S. Patent No. 7039012)

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Applicant agreed to amend the claims in accordance to examiner amendment by incorporating the allowable subject matter into the independent claims. In addition, claims 5, 13, 21 also amended to correct typo-graphical error.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Meng-Ai An/
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2195

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)